

### WHY IT PROBABLY DOESN'T MATTER WHO WINS IN NOVEMBER 2012

## Some preliminary facts to keep in mind:

A million \$1 bills tightly stacked is over 333 feet high – higher than a 30-story skyscraper. A billion is more than 63 miles high. A trillion, over 63,000 miles. (The International Space Station orbits at about 250 miles.)



If you laid a million \$1 bills end to end, it would take about 33 hours to walk the distance. Walking a line of dollars the length of total federal liabilities would take more than 4,520 centuries.

To put it another way, unfunded federal liabilities – accumulated by Democrats and Republicans alike – are now more than enough to create a seamless carpet of dollars larger than the state of Texas! That's 268,580 square miles!

Some things to keep in mind if you're seriously watching President Obama or Candidate Romney:

- The U.S. has a heavily socialist economic model called Keynesianism. This requires the government to borrow uncountable amounts of money and get us hopelessly indebted. Neither Obama nor Romney has articulated any concrete policy to deal with this.
- 2. The U.S. has 165,000 pages of federal regulations, with more added each day. <u>Both parties add to these regulations</u>. How's that "Land of the Free" working for you?




3. Federal spending exceeds federal income by \$2.7 billion each DAY. This is a stack of dollars more than 100 miles high. Per day. (If you look up in the sky at an airliner's contrail, that is about six miles high. A stack of singles 94 miles higher than that is our daily budget deficit.) Does either candidate have a serious proposal to lower that daily stack? Paul Ryan's plan (note that Romney doesn't even have one!) grows the government for 30 years!



<sup>\*</sup> Reprinted with permission of "Sweendog" - 2012



4. The federal government is in debt for \$15.9 trillion. Count to 16 one-thousand times. Then do that 1,000 times. Then do *that* 1,000 times. Yep, then do *all of that* 1,000 times. Both parties have gotten us there. Oh, and this debt, just like any debt, compounds.



- 5. The federal government's total unfunded liabilities (Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, Obama/RomneyCare, etc.) exceeds \$120 trillion more than a million dollars per taxpayer on top of existing taxes with more added each and every day. There must necessarily be an Economic Day of Reckoning for all of this recklessness.
- 6. Both parties and the government as a whole are extraordinarily corrupt. Neither Romney nor Obama mentions this, because they can't (even if they wanted to, which is doubtful). They are beholden to the system and must maintain the status quo.

#### Answer honestly:

Do you really believe the federal government, run by the <u>Democrats and Republicans who have</u> <u>been in charge for a hundred years</u>, will change based on either Obama's or Romney's election?

Does the <u>track record of Republican presidents</u> suggest that Romney will turn the car away from the cliff... or just ease up on the accelerator a little while keeping the steering wheel where it is?

If you think Romney's policies would create more jobs, at this point in the game, would that matter? **We are so far in debt that the federal beast <u>must</u> devour** whatever wealth would be created by whatever jobs might be created.

Do you think a vote for one single man will make the difference in when the Economic Day of Reckoning arrives? Let's say it comes in four years with Obama. <u>How long would Romney give us?</u> Six years? Eight? IT WILL COME NO MATTER WHAT; <u>the best Romney could do is delay it a little.</u>

# Have you considered a third party candidate?



Virgil Goode of the <u>Constitution Party</u> is a viable candidate with a plan to actually turn the steering wheel away from the cliff that gets closer every day. The Constitution Party is the third largest party in terms of membership.

Gary Johnson of the <u>Libertarian Party</u> is also a viable candidate to get us back on track. Johnson was two-term governor of New Mexico and was known as Governor Veto; he vetoed more bills as governor than the other 49 governors *combined* during that term.

Have you never even heard of these men? No; That's largely because the Two-Party Monopoly (they're really the same), along with a compliant media, have worked very hard to exclude them from the national spotlight, from debates, and from state ballots. They have a vested interest in ensuring you never hear about them!

Finally, if you're concerned that voting for one of these would be a vote for Obama and therefore "wasting your vote", consider that you're *definitely* wasting your vote on Republicrats or Democans. They are two wings of the same bird of prey:

- Both are still expanding government,
- <u>Both</u> are spending our great-grandchildren's money,
- Both are getting us into foreign entanglements and wars we cannot afford, and
- <u>Both</u> are ruining our great country. Do the patriotic thing this November 6<sup>th</sup>, and stop rewarding them with votes. We haven't always had Democrats and Republicans. Both came about when their predecessors ceased to meet the needs of the country, as they have now done.



Look seriously at these two "Third" parties. Each has things to love, and not to love, but we know now that the two Entrenched Parties must get smaller and smaller shares of votes until they become irrelevant.

#### **God bless these United States of America!**

Pass this on to those you care about, especially if they intend to vote for Rombama or Obamney.

<sup>\*</sup> Reprinted with permission of "Sweendog" - 2012